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#### Abstract

A series of 2,6-bis( $p$-dihexylaminostyryl)anthracence derivatives having phenyl, styryl, and phenylethynyl groups at 9,10 -positions ( $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ ) have been synthesized and their two-photon cross-sections were determined. Overall, the wavelengths of the longest wavelength absorption band and emission spectra increase with increase in the conjugation length and the electron withdrawing ability of the 9,10 -substituents. All compounds show two-photon cross sections in the range of $740-3940 \mathrm{GM}$ at $780-960 \mathrm{~nm}$, which increase significantly by the donor and acceptor groups at 9,10 -positions. In addition, Ph and phenylethynyl groups are better when compared to the styryl group at the 9,10 -positions in terms of the two-photon action cross section. From a practical perspective, 1a, 2a-c, and 4b showed significant two-photon action cross-section and are most useful for applications that use two-photon excited fluorescence.
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## Introduction

Recent years witnessed growing interest to develop efficient organic two photon materials for possible applications in twophoton fluorescence imaging [1, 2], optical power limiting [3, 4], two-photon upconversion lasing [4, 5], 3D optical data storage [6], 3D microfabrication [6, 7], and photodynamic therapy [8]. A variety of donor-bridge-acceptor ( $\mathrm{D}-\pi-\mathrm{A}$ ) dipoles, donor-bridge-donor (D- $\pi-\mathrm{D}$ ) quadruples, and octupoles were developed and their structure-property relationship had been investigated [9-28]. The results of these studies reveal that the TPA cross section increases with the donoracceptor strength, conjugation length, and the planarity of the $\pi$-center.

Recently, we have reported that 2,6-bis(p-dialkylaminostyryl)anthracene derivatives ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{2 a , c}$ ) exhibited large two photon cross sections ( $\delta_{\text {TPA }}$ ) in the range of 700$2,500 \mathrm{GM}[24,26]$. The $\delta_{\text {TPA }}$ value of $9,10-\mathrm{CN}$ derivative (1c) was 2 -fold larger than that of $\mathbf{1 a}$ but the two-photon action cross section ( $\Phi \delta_{\text {TPA }}$ ) was decreased due to poor fluorescence quantum yield. Optimization of $\Phi \delta_{\text {TPA }}$ was established with $p$-cyanophenyl groups at the 9,10 -positions (2c). When the conjugation length was increased as 2,6 -bis [4-( $p$-dialkylaminostyryl)styryl]anthracene, the $\delta_{\text {TPA }}$ value remained nearly the same as that of $\mathbf{1 a}$, but increased to 2,580 and $5,530 \mathrm{GM}$, when OMe and CN groups were introduced at 9,10 -positions, respectively [28]. On the other hand, the $\delta_{\text {TPA }}$ values of 9,10 -bis(arylethynyl)anthracene derivatives were smaller than that of $\mathbf{1 a}$, and the $\delta_{\text {TPA }} / \mathrm{MW}$ value decreased with the conjugations length [25]. Therefore, it was difficult to make a proper conclusion on the effects of the 9,10 -subsitutents on the $\delta_{\text {TPA }}$ values.

In order to provide a better insight into the effects of 9,10 -subsitutents, we have synthesized a series of 2,6 -bis ( $p$-dihexylaminostyryl)anthracene derivatives having phe-
nyl, styryl, and arylethynyl groups at the 9,10-positions ( $\mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{4 a - c}$ ) and investigated their one- and twophoton absorption properties. The chromophores examined are shown in Scheme 1. By comparing with the existing data for 1a,c and 2a,c [26], the effects of 9,10-substituents on the $\delta_{\text {TPA }}$ values of the anthracene derivatives is assessed.

## Experimental section

Synthesis
2,6-Bis(diethylphosphorylmethyl)-9,10-dibromoanthracene A mixture of 2,6-dimethyl-9,10-dibromoanthracene ( 2.6 g , $7.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, NBS ( $2.9 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzoyl peroxide $(71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(100 \mathrm{ml})$ was refluxed for 4 h . The mixture was poured into methanol, and the precipitate that collected was dried by vacuum. This intermediate was added to triethyl phosphate ( 50 ml ), and the resulting solution was refluxed for 12 h . The solvent was removed by in vacuo and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using ethyl acetate/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2:1) as the eluent. Yield: 3.6 g (76\%); mp 251$253{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.27 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{t}, J=$ 7.5 Hz, 12H).

2,6-Bis[(p-dihexylamino)styryl]-9,10-dibromoanthracene (A) LDA ( 1.5 M in cyclohexane) ( $3.4 \mathrm{ml}, 5.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 2,6-bis(diethylphos-
phorylmethyl)-9,10-dibromoanthracene ( $2.67 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF $(100 \mathrm{ml})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and then $p$-dihexylaminobenzaldehyde ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 20 ml ) was added dropwise over a period of 3 min . After the mixture was stirred for 2 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for 6 h at room temperature, 1 ml of water was added and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was dissolved into $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed several times with water. The solvent was evaporated and the crude product was separated by column chromatography on silica gel using hexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (3:1) as the eluent. Yield: 1.98 g ( $52 \%$ ); mp 171-173 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.46$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.46 (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz} .4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.25 (d, $J=$ $15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.14 (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H})$, $0.92 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H})$. Elemental analysis: calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : C, $71.51 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.78 ; \mathrm{N}, ~ 3.09$. Found: C, 71.58; H, 7.81; N, 3.12.

## 2,6-Bis[(p-dihexylamino)styryl]-9,10-bis(p-N,N-didecylami-

 nophenyl)anthracene (2b) A solution of p-didecylaminophenylboronoic acid $(0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$, A $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.22 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.055 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0 .31 \mathrm{mmol})$ and toluene $(7 \mathrm{ml})$ was degassed and then $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3(\mathrm{aq})}(2.0 \mathrm{M}, 4.0 \mathrm{ml})$ was added. The suspension was refluxed overnight under nitrogen. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and solvent was removed in vacuo. The solvent was evaporated and the crude product was separated by column chromatography on silica gel using hexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (4:1) as the eluent. Yield: 0.23 g ( $71 \%$ ); mp $79-81{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMRScheme 1 Structure of the twophoton dyes considered in this paper

( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65$ (dd, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 7.41$ (d, $J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 7.01 (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.64$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 80 \mathrm{H})$, $0.93 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 24 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 147.9$, 147.7, 137.1, 134.4, 132.7, 131.1, 130.5, 128.7, 128.0, 126.0, $125.7,125.1,124.9,121.9,111.9,111.6,100.3,51.7,51.5$, $51.3,32.2,32.0,30.1,29.9,29.7,27.8,27.6,27.5,27.1,23.0$, 22.9, 14.4, 14.3 ppm . Elemental analysis: Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{106} \mathrm{H}_{162} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ : C, 85.31 ; H, 10.94; N, 3.75. Found: C, 85.40; H, 10.89; N, 3.70.

2,6-Bis(p-N,N-dihexylaminostyryl)-9,10-bis(styryl)anthracene (3a) A pressure tube containing a mixture of styrene $(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{A}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ $(4.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol})$, tris-(o-tolyl)phosphine $(0.045 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{MeCN}(1 \mathrm{ml})$ were mixed in sealed tube and refluxed for 24 h . The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude product was separated by column chromatography on silica gel using hexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4: 1)$ as the eluent. Yield: $0.14 \mathrm{~g}(65 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 122-124{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.28$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.14 (d, $J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86$ (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73$ (dd, $J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.71 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.48 (m, 4H), 7.40 (m, 6H), 7.13 (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06$ (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 0.90 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 148.0,137.7$, $137.4,135.1,134.7,132.3,130.2,130.0,129.4,129.4,129.1$, 128.1, 126.9, 125.6, 124.7, 124.6, 124.3, 122.7, 111.8, 51.3, 32.0, 27.5, 27.1, 22.9, 14.3 ppm. Elemental analysis: Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{84} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : C, 88.18; H, 8.88; N, 2.94. Found: C, 88.26; H, 8.81; N, 3.01.

2,6-Bis(p-N,N-dihexylaminostyryl)-9,10-bis(p-N,N-didecylaminostyryl)anthracene (3b) Synthesized by the same procedure as described for $\mathbf{3 a}$ except that $p$-dihexylaminostyrene was used instead of styrene. The crude product was separated by column chromatography on silica gel using hexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (3:1) as the eluent. Yield: $0.17 \mathrm{~g}(59 \%) ; \mathrm{mp}$ $97-99{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.36$ (d, $J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72$ (dd, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.14 (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84$ (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74$ (d, $J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $3.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 80 \mathrm{H})$, $0.92 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 24 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 148.2$, 147.9, 137.4, 134.7, 132.8, 130.4, 129.6, 128.9, 128.1, 128.0, $127.3,125.2,125.0,124.7,122.2,120.3,120.3,111.9,111.9$,
$51.4,51.3,32.0,31.9,27.6,27.5,27.2,27.1,24.8,24.5,23.2$, 23.0, 22.7, 22.9, 14.4, 14.3 ppm . Elemental analysis: Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{110} \mathrm{H}_{166} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ : C, 85.54; H, 10.83; N, 3.63. Found: C, 85.48; H, 10.86; N, 3.67.

2,6-Bis(p-N,N-dihexylaminostyryl)-9,10-bis(p-cyanostyryl) anthracene (3c) Synthesized by the same procedure as described for 3a except that styrene was replaced with $p$ cyanostyrene. The crude product was separated by column chromatography on silica gel using hexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2: 1)$ as the eluent. Yield: 0.11 g ( $51 \%$ ); Mp 110-112 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.80 (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74$ (dd, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.44 (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H})$, $0.92 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 148.4, 137.0, 132.0, 131.9, 131.7, 130.6, 128.4, 127.9, 126.6, 124.7, 124.2, 124.0, 123.2, 118.7, 117.0, 116.0, 111.7, 111.5, 100.7, 96.4, 51.4, 32.1, 27.5, 27.1, 23.0, 14.3 ppm . Elemental analysis: Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{82} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ : C, 86.18; H, 8.24; N, 5.58. Found: C, 86.26; H, 8.21; N, 5.65.

2,6-Bis(p-N,N-dihexylaminostyryl)-9,10-bis(phenylethynyl) anthracene (4a) A solution of phenylacetylene ( 0.26 g , 2.0 mmol ) in toluene ( 3 ml ) was added to a degassed mixture containing A (0.20 g, 0.32 mmol$), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ $(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.041 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuI}(8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.041 \mathrm{mmol})$, and (i$\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{NH}(7 \mathrm{ml})$ in toluene $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ was added by a syringe. The suspension was stirred overnight at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the product was separated by column chromatography on silica gel using ethyl acetate/ hexane (2:1) as the eluent. Yield: $77 \mathrm{mg}(37 \%)$. Mp $168-$ $1170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.43$ (d, $J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, 7.26 (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.36$ (m, 24H), $0.93 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 148.2,136.5,132.4,132.0,131.9,130.2,128.8$, $128.7,128.4,127.5,124.9,124.7,124.5,124.1,124.0,117.7$, $111.8,102.2,87.2,51.3,32.0,30.0,27.1,23.0,14.4 \mathrm{ppm}$. Elemental analysis: Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{106} \mathrm{H}_{162} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ : C, 88.56; H, 8.49; N, 2.95. Found: C, 88.49; H, 8.54; N, 3.01.

2,6-Bis(p-N,N-dihexylaminostyryl)-9,10-bis[(p-N,N-didecylamino)phenylethynyl]anthracene (4b) Synthesized by the same procedure as described for $\mathbf{4 a}$ except that $4-(N, N-$ didecylamino)phenylacetylene was used instead of phenyacetylene. The crude product was separated by column chromatography on silica gel using hexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3: 1)$ as the eluent. Yield: $0.15 \mathrm{~g}(43 \%)$; mp $123-125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86$ (dd, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 40 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (d, $J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.66$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $80 \mathrm{H}), 0.91 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 24 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $148.3,148.1,136.1,133.3,132.3,131.8,129.8,128.2,127.8$, $125.4,124.9,124.4,124.0,118.0,111.9,111.6,109.5,103.9$, $85.2,51.4,51.3,32.2,29.9,29.8,29.8,29.6,29.5,27.6,27.5$, 27.4, 27.4, 23.0, 22.9, 14.4, 14.3 ppm . Elemental analysis: Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{110} \mathrm{H}_{162} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ : C, 85.76; H, 10.60; $\mathrm{N}, 3.64$. Found: C, 85.81; H, 10.54; N, 3.67.

2,6-Bis(p-N,N-dihexylaminostyryl)-9,10-bis[(p-cyanophenyl)ethynyl]anthracene (4c) Synthesized by the same procedure as described for $\mathbf{4 a}$ except that phenyacetylene was replaced with 4 -cyanophenylacetylene. The crude product was separated by column chromatography on silica gel using a hexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1:1) as the eluent. Yield, $41 \% ; \mathrm{mp} 191-$ $193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02$ ( $\mathrm{s}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.65$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 0.94 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 148.4,136.8,132.3,132.2,131.7$, $130.6,128.5,128.4,127.0,124.8,124.3,124.1,123.4,118.9$, 117.1, 117.1, 111.8, 111.6, 100.7, 91.4, 51.4, 32.0, 27.6, 27.1, 23.0, 14.3 ppm . Elemental analysis: Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{78} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ : C, 86.53; H, 7.87; N, 5.61. Found: C, 86.47; H, 7.85.11; N, 5.66.

## Photophysical studies

All spectroscopic measurements were performed in toluene solutions (spectroscopic grade). Samples were dissolved in toluene at concentrations of $1.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ and the absorption and the fluorescence spectra were recorded on a HewlettPackard 8453 diode array spectrophotometer, and a Amico Bowman series 2 luminescence spectrometer, respectively. The fluorescence quantum yield was determined using fluorescein, coumarine 307 or Rhodamine $B$ as the reference according to the literature method [29].

The two-photon absorption cross-sections of 2-4 were determined by two-photon-induced fluorescence method using the femto-second laser pulses as reported [13, 28]. The pulse width, repetition rate of the laser and the input excitation power were $160 \mathrm{fs}, 90 \mathrm{MHz}$, and $50 \mu \mathrm{~W}$ respectively. The experimental setup for the measurement is described in reference [28].

The fluorescence was collected with a camera lens, focused with a lens ( $f=120 \mathrm{~mm}$, diameter $=30 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) at the entrance slit of the monochromator (TRIAX320, Jobin-

Yvon, $F_{\text {number }}=4.1$ ), and then detected by using the CCD detector system. Samples were dissolved in toluene at concentrations of $5.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$ and the two-photon induced fluorescence intensity was measured at $740-960 \mathrm{~nm}$ by using fluorescein $\left(8.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}=11\right)$ as the reference, whose two-photon properties have been well documented in the literature [30]. The two-photon cross section ( $\delta$ ) was calculated with the equation: $\delta=\left[\left(S_{\mathrm{s}} \Phi_{\mathrm{r}} \phi_{\mathrm{r}} c_{\mathrm{r}}\right) /\left(S_{\mathrm{r}} \Phi_{\mathrm{s}} \phi_{\mathrm{s}} c_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right] \delta_{\mathrm{r}}$ where the subscripts s and r stand for the sample and reference molecules. The intensity of the signal collected by a CCD detector was denoted as $S . \Phi$ is the fluorescence quantum yield. $\phi$ is the overall fluorescence collection efficiency of the experimental apparatus. The number density of the molecules in solution was denoted as c. $\delta_{\mathrm{r}}$ is the TPA cross section of the reference molecule.

## Results and discussion

Synthesis 2,6-Dimethyl-9,10-dibromoanthracene, 1a, 1c, $\mathbf{2 a}$, and 2c were available from a previous study [26]. Synthesis of other compounds are performed as in Scheme 2. Compound 2b was prepared by the method of Suzuki coupling between $\mathbf{A}$ and $p$-didecylaminophenylboronic acid. To synthesize $\mathbf{3 a - c}$, A was subjected to the Heck coupling with $p$-subsituted styrenes. Compounds $\mathbf{4 a - c}$ were synthesized by the method of Sonogashira coupling between $\mathbf{A}$ and $p$-subsituted phenylacetylenes. All compounds were unambiguously characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nmr spectroscopic analysis and the results of elemental analysis.

One-photon absorption and emission spectra The onephoton absorption spectra of $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ in toluene solution are complicated and no clear trend is evident in the wavelengths of the maximum absorption $\left(\lambda_{\max }\right)$ brought out by the change of the 9,10 -substituents (Fig. 1). On the other hand, a reasonable correlation can be found when the longest wavelength absorption bands ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{CT}}$ ) are compared with the 9,10 -substituents. First of all, there is modest increase in the $\lambda_{\mathrm{CT}}$ in the order, $\mathbf{1 a}<\mathbf{2 a}<\mathbf{3 a}<\mathbf{4 a}$, indicating a gradual increase in the extent of intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) (Table 1). Hence, the electron withdrawing ability of the 9,10 -substituent seems to increase in the order, $\mathrm{H}<\mathrm{Ph}<$ styryl $<$ phenylethynyl. In addition, the spectra for $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{c}$ are broader than those for $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{c}$, probably because of the steric hindrance between the vinyl groups and the anthracenyl moiety, which might have distorted the structure and increased the number of possible transition. When cyano groups are introduced at 9,10positions, the $\lambda_{\mathrm{CT}}$ increases by more than 130 nm ( $\mathbf{1 a}$ vs 1c). The effect is much smaller for $2 \mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 c}(22 \mathrm{~nm})$ and for 3a and 3c $(10 \mathrm{~nm})$, indicating that the electronic effect is


Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: (a) $p-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4} / \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} /$ toluene/reflux $/ 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $p-\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} /(o-$ tolyl) $3_{3} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{NEt}_{3} / \mathrm{MeCN} /$ reflux $/ 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $p-\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4} / \mathrm{CuI} /(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{NH} /$ toluene $/ 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 12 \mathrm{~h}$
reduced by the intervening phenyl and styryl groups (Table 1). Interestingly, the $\lambda_{\mathrm{CT}}$ of $\mathbf{4 c}$ is shorter than that of $\mathbf{4 a}$, while the extinction coefficient is larger. This indicates that the ICT is less efficient for $\mathbf{4 c}$ than $\mathbf{4 a}$, despite enhanced transition moment. On the other hand, the $\lambda_{\mathrm{CT}}$ values of $\mathbf{2 b}$, $\mathbf{3 b}$, and $\mathbf{4 b}$ are very similar to those of $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{3 a}$, and $\mathbf{4 a}$, indicating that the donor groups at 9,10-positions have little influence on the ICT (Table 1). Finally, the much smaller $\varepsilon$ value for $\mathbf{2 b}$ than others at the $\lambda_{\mathrm{CT}}$ is due to the fact that the
$\lambda_{\text {max }}$ of 2b appears at $<300 \mathrm{~nm}$ and the absorption becomes very weak at $>350 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Fig. 1).

In contrast to the absorption spectra, the fluorescence spectra exhibit monotonous bathochromic shift in the order, $\mathbf{1 a}<\mathbf{2 a}<\mathbf{3 a}<\mathbf{4 a}$, and when with increasing acceptor strength, it showed, 1a $<\mathbf{1 c}, \mathbf{2 a}<\mathbf{2 c}, \mathbf{3 a}<\mathbf{3 c}, \mathbf{4 a}<\mathbf{4 c}$ (Fig. 2 and Table 1). The abnormal behavior noted in the absorption spectra for $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 c}$ were not found in the


Fig. 1 Normalized absorption spectra of 2-4 (a-d) in toluene (at concentrations of $5.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$ )

Table 1 One- and two-photon properties of 1-4

| Cpd | $\lambda_{\text {CT }}\left(10^{-4} \varepsilon\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\lambda_{\mathrm{fl}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\Delta \widetilde{v}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\Phi^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\lambda_{\max }^{(2)}$ | $\delta_{\max }{ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\Phi \delta_{\max }^{\mathrm{g}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 \mathbf { a } ^ { \mathrm { h } }}$ | $456(6.65)$ | 487 | 1,400 | 0.78 | 800 | 1,100 | 860 |
| $\mathbf{1 c}^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $587(4.88)$ | 656 | 1,790 | 0.11 | 990 | 2,290 | 250 |
| $2 \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $466(4.69)$ | 501 | 1,500 | 1.0 | $780(800)^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $770(910)^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $770(910)^{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| 2 b | $464(0.44)$ | 489 | 1,100 | 0.85 | 800 | 1,130 | 960 |
| $2 \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $488(4.55)$ | 535 | 1,800 | 0.64 | $840(830)^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1,570(1,570)^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1,000(1,000)^{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| 3 a | $485(0.38)$ | 545 | 2,270 | 0.12 | 940 | 1,180 | 140 |
| 3 b | $489(2.17)$ | 570 | 2,910 | 0.036 | 800 | 3,940 | 140 |
| 3 c | $495(0.83)$ | 610 | 3,800 | 0.089 | 820 | 1,870 | 170 |
| 4 a | $530(1.67)$ | 577 | 1,537 | 0.25 | 940 | 740 | 185 |
| 4 b | $532(2.38)$ | 563 | 1,035 | 0.43 | 800 | 3,010 | 1,290 |
| 4 c | $498(4.71)$ | 628 | 4,160 | 0.15 | $>960$ | $>2,360$ | $>350$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The wavelength of the longest wavelength absorption band in the one-photon absorption spectra in nm . The numbers in the parenthesis are the molar absorption coefficient in each wavelength. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \lambda_{\max }$ of the one-photon fluorescence spectra in nm . ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Stokes shift in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Fluorescence quantum yield. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \lambda_{\max }$ of the two-photon absorption spectra in nm . ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ The peak two-photon absorption cross section in $10^{-50} \mathrm{~cm}^{4} \mathrm{~s}$ photon ${ }^{-1}$ (GM). The experimental uncertainty is in the order of $10-15 \% .{ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Two-photon action cross section. ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ The data are taken from Ref. [26]. ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ This work
emission spectra probably because the ICT is more efficient in the excited- than in the ground-state. All compounds show modest to large Stokes shifts ranging from 1,100 for $\mathbf{2 b}$ to $4,160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{4 c}$ (Table 1). The fluorescence Stokes shift
increases in the order, $\mathbf{1 a}<\mathbf{2 a}<\mathbf{4 a}<\mathbf{3 a}$. The larger Stokes shift for $\mathbf{3 a}$ than others is due to the smaller $\lambda_{\mathrm{CT}}$ that can be attributed to the steric hindrance between the vinyl groups and the anthracenyl moiety, which may have distorted the


Fig. 2 Normalized fluorescence spectra of 2-4 (a-d) in toluene (at concentrations of $5.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$ )


Fig. 3 Dependence of output fluorescence intensity ( $I_{\text {out }}$ ) of compound $2 \mathbf{c}$ in toluene on the input laser power $\left(I_{\mathrm{in}}\right)$. The insert shows the linear dependence of $I_{\text {out }}$ on $I_{\text {in }}{ }^{2}$ (Excitation wavelength $=830 \mathrm{~nm}$, $90 \mathrm{MHz}, \tau=160 \mathrm{fs}$ )


Fig. 4 Two-photon excitation spectra of 2-4 (a-d) in toluene (at concentrations of $5.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$ )


Fig. 5 One-photon absorption, fluorescence, and two-photon excitation spectra for $\mathbf{3 b}(\mathbf{a})$ and $\mathbf{4 b}(\mathbf{b})$ in toluene. The two-photon spectrum is plotted against half the wavelength (twice the photon energy) (at concentrations of $5.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$ )
structure and reduced the ICT (vide supra). The Stokes shift also increases as the acceptor strength increases, that is, $\mathbf{1 a}<$ $\mathbf{1 c}, \mathbf{2 a}<\mathbf{2 c}, \mathbf{4 a}<\mathbf{4 c}$, indicating that the energy gap between the ground- and excited-states decreases in this order (Table 1). As the acceptor strength increases, the charge transfer character of the excited electronic state would increase. This leads to the prediction that the solvation energy of the excited electronic state becomes large and the fluorescence Stokes shift too will increase. Interestingly, the Stokes shifts of $\mathbf{2 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ are much smaller than those of $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $4 \mathbf{a}$ (Table 1). This indicates that the donors may have destabilized the emitting states more than the FranckCondon states. For 3b, this effect is not evident probably because of the distorted structure (vide supra). Most of the compounds are strongly fluorescent with modest to high fluorescence quantum yields. The much smaller quantum yields for $\mathbf{1 c}$ and $\mathbf{3 a - c}$ may be due to the lower energy of the emitting states and/or distorted structures, and thus have facilitated the nonradiative pathways.

Two-photon cross sections The two-photon cross section ( $\delta_{\text {TPA }}$ ) was measured by the two-photon-induced fluores-
cence measurement technique using the femto-second (fs) laser pulses as described [13, 20]. As shown in Fig. 3, the output intensity of two-photon excited fluorescence is linearly dependent on the square of the input laser intensity, thereby indicating the occurrence of nonlinear absorption.

Figure 4 shows the two-photon excited spectra of $\mathbf{2 - 4}$ in toluene. The values of maximum two photon cross section $\left(\delta_{\max }\right)$ for $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $2 \mathbf{c}$ measured by the nano- and femtosecond pulses are similar within experimental error (Table 1). This result validates the comparison between earlier and the present ones.

All compounds show large two-photon cross sections in the range of $740-3,940 \mathrm{GM}$ at $800-960 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Fig. 4). The normalized one-photon absorption, emission, and the twophoton excitation spectra for $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ are depicted in Fig. 5. It shows that $\lambda_{\max }^{(2)} / 2$ of $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ are located at shorter wavelengths than the absorption maxima. This is consistent with the prediction that the two-photon allowed states for the quadrupoles are at a higher energy than the one-photon allowed states [31]. Interestingly, 3a and 4c show appreciable two-photon absorption into the lowest excited states ( $>930 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), although we cannot rule out the possibility that the real $\lambda_{\text {max }}^{(2)}$ for these compounds may exist beyond the upper limit of our experimental wavelength range (Fig. 4).

When the 9,10 -substituents are varied from $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathbf{1 a})$ to Ph (2a) to styryl (3a) to phenylethynyl (4a), the $\delta_{\max }$ values are scattered in the range of $740-1,100 \mathrm{GM}$. The effect of the substituents is more evident when either donor or acceptor group is introduced at the 9,10 -positions. When the donor groups are substituted at the 9,10 -positions, the $\delta_{\max }$ value shows parallel increase with $\lambda_{\mathrm{CT}}$ in the order, $\mathbf{2 b}<\mathbf{3 b}<\mathbf{4 b}$. A similar result is observed for compounds with acceptor groups at the 9,10-positions, that is, $\mathbf{2 c}<\mathbf{3 c}<\mathbf{4} \mathbf{c}<\mathbf{1 c}$. Moreover, the $\delta_{\text {max }}$ values of a given series of compounds are always larger with a stronger acceptor, that is, $\mathbf{1 a}<\mathbf{1 c}$, $\mathbf{2 a}<\mathbf{2 c}, \mathbf{3 a}<\mathbf{3 c}, \mathbf{4 a}<\mathbf{4 c}$. Here again, a parallel increase between the $\delta_{\max }$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{CT}}$ is observed. An exception to this trend is the larger $\delta_{\max }$ for $\mathbf{4 c}$ than $\mathbf{4 a}$, despite shorter $\lambda_{\mathrm{CT}}$. At present, the origin of this dichotomy is not clear.

The most interesting result from this study is the larger $\delta_{\max }$ values of the compounds having the donor groups at the 9,10 -positions than those of the parent compounds, that is, $\mathbf{2 a}<\mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{3 a}<\mathbf{3 b}, \mathbf{4 a}<\mathbf{4 b}$. A similar result was reported for 2,6-bis[4-(p-dialkylaminostyryl)styryl]anthracene with alkoxy groups at the 9,10-positions [28]. In case of $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$, the $\delta_{\text {max }}$ values are even larger than those for $\mathbf{3 c}$ and $\mathbf{4 c}$. The origin of this dichotomy is not clear. However, it is interesting to note that $\lambda_{\max }^{(2)}$ of these compounds are close to one of the absorption maxima (Fig. 5). The TPA cross section would increase, if the twophoton allowed states are close to the Franck-Condon
state [21]. This result underlines the importance of substituting donor groups at 9,10-positions to design more efficient two photon materials.

The values of $\Phi \delta_{\text {max }}$, the two-photon excited fluorescence (TPEF) action cross-section, are in the range of $140-1,290 \mathrm{GM}$. The small $\Phi \delta_{\max }$ values of $\mathbf{1 c}, \mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{c}$, and 4a are due to the small $\delta_{\max }$ and/or low fluorescence quantum yields. From a practical perspective, 1a, 2a-c, and $\mathbf{4 b}$ showing the largest TPEF action cross-section values are most useful for applications that use TPEF. Moreover, the $\lambda_{\max }^{(2)}$ values close to 800 nm provide additional advantage because $\mathrm{Ti} /$ Sappier laser emits light most efficiently at this wavelength. In this respect, phenyl and phenylethynyl groups are superior to the styryl groups as the 9,10 -substituents for the design of efficient two-photon materials. Moreover, the donor and acceptor groups at the 9,10-positions would further increase $\delta_{\text {max }}$.

## Conclusions

In this work, we have synthesized a series of 2,6 -bis $(p-$ dialkylaminostyryl)- anthracence derivatives having phenyl, styryl, and phenylethynyl groups at 9,10-positions (1-4) and measured their one- and two-photon absorption properties. In general, the $\lambda_{\mathrm{CT}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{fl}}$ increases with the conjugation length and the electron withdrawing ability of the 9,10 -substituent. The two photon cross sections of $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ are in the range of $740-3,940 \mathrm{GM}$ at $780-960 \mathrm{~nm}$. The value increases significantly by the donor or acceptor groups at the 9,10-positions. Moreover, Ph and phenylethynyl groups are better when compared to the styryl group at 9,10-positions in terms of the two-photon cross section. From a practical perspective, 1a, 2a-c, and 4b showing the largest two-photon excited fluorescence (TPEF) action cross-section are most useful for applications that will use TPEF.
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